Plan

Develo

Offe

Evaluate

Awar

Manage

# **Evaluation of Quotes (Report)**

QED 97391 PQP - Project Managers for Infrastructure Services

This Evaluation of Quotes (Report) is only for the engagement of project managers under QED 97391 PQP. Please note, some items in the Procurement process have been pre-filled and/or pre-approved. These requirements are built into the RFQ template for QED 97391 PQP.

The purpose of this form is to support and document the evaluation of quotes and the decisions made to determine the successful supplier.

Note: The Financial Delegate should not be a member of the evaluation team or involved in any way with the evaluation of quotes (where possible).

If you require assistance with this form please contact Procurement.BCM@qed.qld.qov.au or call 1300 366 612 (Option 3)

#### INSTRUCTIONS

- Ensure the evaluation criteria and individual weightings below match those within the Quote Documentation.
- Do not release Quotes until pre-approval has been given by a Procurement Delegate.
- All Quotes received must be checked for compliance. If a Quote is non-conforming, that is, not meeting the mandatory requirements, comments must be provided detailing areas of non-conformance.
- Non-conforming Quotes must be rejected and the evaluation criteria not completed.
- The total price for each Quote must be specified under the Price Criteria. Prices are to be exclusive of GST and reflected for example as \$123,456.00 excl. GST.
- <u>All</u> documentation in relation to this evaluation need to be filed accordingly. For Quotes over \$60K, documentation <u>must</u> be forwarded to <u>Procurement.BCM@qed.qld.gov.au</u> for review and approval before notifying of an outcome. For Quotes under \$60,000 a DoE Purchasing Officer can provide approval.

| Customer Name:            | Infrastructure Services, South East Region, Department of Education |                |            |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------|---|----------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Customer Address:         | 340 Hope Island Road, Hope Island Qld 4121                          |                |            |  |  |  |  |  |
| RFQ Reference No.:        | P/N TBA   | Date of Issue: | 18/03/2025 |  |  |  |  |  |
| RFQ Title:                | PM Services for Loganholme SS – Refurbishment of D, E and F Blocks  |                |            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approved Budget (ex GST): | \$25,000  |                |            |  |  |  |  |  |



## 1 Screening of Quotes Report

The Request for Quotes closed on 28/03/2025 at 3.00 pm.

The quotes were received via download to dedicated email leah.mccarthy@qed.qld.gov.au..

Quotes were opened by Leah McCarthy and Tania Bird.

Four quotes were received:

|   | Conflicts of         | Manda                          | atory Require | ment |                        |                          |  |
|---|----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|------|------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Suppliers Name & ABN                        | Interest<br>declared | Licences:<br>Current and valid |               |      | Seek Advice<br>Checked | Fully compliant<br>offer |  |
| Capworks Management<br>38 063 181 108       | No                   | Yes                            | Yes           | Yes  | Yes                    | Yes                      |  |
| s 47(3)(b) - Contrary to Public Interest    | No                   | Yes                            | Yes           | Yes  | No                     | Yes                      |  |
| Karrabin Consulting Group<br>81 670 906 573 | No                   | Yes                            | Yes           | Yes  | No                     | Yes                      |  |
| s 47(3)(b) - Contrary to Public Interest    | No                   | Yes                            | Yes           | Yes  | No                     | Yes                      |  |

#### 1.1 Part Quote / Late Quote

A part quote/late quote(s) was received after the closing time and grace period XXXX

| Supplier                      | Part Quote or Late Quote       | Additional Comments |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|
| < <inset name="">&gt;</inset> | << Part Quote or Late Quote >> |                     |
|                               |                                |                     |
|                               |                                |                     |
|                               |                                | to.                 |

### 1.2 Non-Conforming Quotes

If a quote is non-conforming, that is, does not meet the mandatory requirements, comments must be provided detailing areas of non-conformance. Non-conforming quotes must be rejected and the evaluation criteria not completed.

| Supplier                      | Non-conformance Comments  |
|-------------------------------|---|
| < <inset name="">&gt;</inset> | < <insert comments="" details="" non-conformance="">&gt;</insert> |
|                               | 170   |
|                               | 70,   |
|                               |   |

### 2 Evaluation Process

The evaluation panel used a collective scoring method, where individual suppliers are scored on a single sheet (Appendix 2)

The evaluation team's scoring can be found in Appendix 2.

#### 3 Evaluation Team Endorsement

We, the evaluation team, confirm the scores and comments detailed above are true and accurate, based on the information that was provided by the suppliers.

Were any Conflicts of Interest identified between the evaluation team and the suppliers that responded?

No ☐ Yes – refer to <<attached or insert file location reference>> for the management approach use.

| Evaluation Team Member #1 – Chairperson<br>(Must be DoE Officer regardless of value) | Evaluation Team Member #2<br>(Required up to \$100,000) | Evaluation Team Member #3<br>(Required over \$100,000) |
|--|---|--|
| Leah McCarthy  | Tania Bird  |  |
| Infrastructure Advisor   | Infrastructure Advisor                                  |  |
| Leah McCarthy 04.04.25   | 07.04.25  |  |

## 4 Chairperson Recommendation

The Chairperson recommends that the Department accept the quote received from:

| Supplier Name:       | Capworks Management (Qld) Pty Ltd       | Cost \$ (Inc GST): | \$22,968 (excluding QS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------------------|---|--------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Supporting Comments: | omprehensive submission and best price  |                    |                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Additional Comments  | her quotes not competitive with pricing |                    |                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                      |   |                    |                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                      |   |                    |                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 5 Procurement Delegate Approval

I, as the Procurement Delegate, endorse that this evaluation was undertaken in accordance with the departmental Purchasing and Procurement Procedure.

| Name:     | Rebecca Saarikko | Date | 04.04.25 |
|-----------|------------------|------|----------|
| Signature | Pollo            |      |          |
| Comments  |                  |      | 6,64     |

## 6 Next Steps

NOTE: if the Financial Delegate or Contract Signing Authority does not accept the outcome, they cannot select an alternate supplier. The purchasing process must recommence, otherwise there has been a breakdown in the separation of duties.

- 1. Keep and securely store all related purchasing documentation in accordance with <u>record management for purchasing and procurement</u> and the <u>Records</u> Management Manual.
- 2. Organise Purchase Order
- 3. PQP Work Order / Letter of Acceptance signed by contract signing delegate
- 4. See Quick Guide: BCM Minor Engaging a Project Manager (22/201062)

## Appendix 1: Evaluation Method

The Weighted scoring method will be applied to the evaluation of quotes. Weightings must add up to 100%

|        |                                      | Non-Price Criteria   | Price Criteria – Median Price Method of Assessment |  |       |
|--------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|-------|
| The fo | ollowing scoring                     | scale is to be used when allocating scores:  |  |  |       |
| 0      | Non-conforming                       | Non-compliant. Failed to address the criterion at all. Able to be not considered further (if contract provisions provide).   |  |  |       |
| 1      | Unacceptable                         | Provides unsatisfactory responses. Very low probability of success.  Able to be not considered further.  |  |  |       |
| 2      | Marginally<br>Acceptable             | Tenderer's supporting statement indicates a low level of understanding of the requirement. Some major weaknesses or deficiencies requiring further clarification. Low probability of success.  |  |  | C, O, |
| 3      | Good                                 | Tenderer's supporting statement indicates a good understanding of the requirement. No major weaknesses or deficiencies. Good probability of success.   |  | Calculation of Cost - Median Price Method of Assessment  Score is 2.5 + [2.5 x (median price – quote price) / median price]. |       |
| 4      | Very good                            | Tenderer's supporting statement indicates a very good understanding of the requirement. No weaknesses or deficiencies. Very good probability of success. Has demonstrated more than adequate capabilities and additional factors which sets it apart |  | The median price (centre price) applies, not the mean (average price).   |       |
| 5      | Excellent                            | Outstanding in all respects. Exceeds specified performance or capability and the additional input adds value. Tenderer has an excellent understanding of the requirement. No weaknesses or deficiencies. Excellent probability of success.           |  |  |       |
| Where  | e necessary, scor                    | res can be to one decimal point (e.g. 2.5 3.5).  |  |  |       |
| The W  | Veighted Score =                     | allocated Score x the Weighting  |  |  |       |
|        | allocated score of 4 for<br>20 = 80) | r a criterion with a weighting of 20% would result in a weighted score of 80.  |  |  |       |

# Appendix 2: Evaluation Scoring (Collective Scoring Summary)

Use the Scoring Scale in Appendix 1 when allocating scores.

|  |   | Evaluation<br>Criteria                      | ı   | Methodology                                    |  | Resource Strategy<br>Inc. Key Personnel) Project Specific<br>Safety<br>Considerations<br>(Inc. Management of<br>WH&S) |   | Advancing<br>Government<br>Priorities          |                                 | Price                                     |  | TOTAL                                     |     |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|---|---|--|---------------------------------|---|--|---|-----|
|  |   | Weighting %                                 |   | 20   |  | 25  |   | 15   |                                 | 10  | 30                                       |   | 100 |
| Supplier   | CHCCECCEN                                   | Score (0 – 5)                               | 4   | Comments:  Proven project delivery             | 3  | Comments: Project team  | 4   | Comments:  Proactive in managing               | 3                               | Comments:  Proven ability to              | 4  | Comments:<br>Awarded<br>on cost           |     |
| Quote #1 Capworks Management SUCCESSFUL SUPPLIER: YES            | Weighted<br>Score<br>(Score x<br>weighting) | 80  | capability to<br>deliver on time          | 75   | available<br>including<br>back up.<br>Strong<br>approach | 60  | safety and risk                           | 30   | meet Govt<br>priorities         | 120                                       | Total:<br>\$20,880<br>(Excl.<br>GST)     | 465                                       |     |
| S 47(3)(b) - Contrary to Public Interest SUCCESSFUL SUPPLIER: NO | Score (0 – 5)                               | 4   | Comments:  Comprehensive details provided | 3  | Comments:  PM has current                                | 4   | Comments:  Comprehensive details provided | 3  | Comments:  Number of priorities | 3   | Comments:<br>Third<br>highest<br>costing |   |     |
|  | Weighted<br>Score<br>(Score x<br>weighting) | 80  |   | 75   | availability.<br>Strong<br>approach                      | 60  |   | 30   | addressed                       | 90  | Total:<br>\$ 48,600<br>(Excl.<br>GST)    | 335                                       |     |
| Supplier<br>Quote #3   | SUCCESSFUL                                  | Score (0 – 5)                               | 3   | Comments:  Examples of project                 | 3  | Comments:  PM has current   | 3   | Comments:  Broad details provided              | 3                               | Comments:  Number of priorities           | 2  | Comments:<br>Fourth<br>highest<br>costing |     |
| Consulting NO<br>Group   | SUPPLIER:<br>NO                             | Weighted<br>Score<br>(Score x<br>weighting) | 6   | management<br>provided                         | 75   | availability.   | 45  |  | 30                              | addressed                                 | 60                                       | Total:<br>\$ 76,600<br>(Excl.<br>GST)     | 270 |
| s 47(3)(b) -<br>Contrary to<br>Public Interest                   | SUCCESSFUL<br>SUPPLIER:<br>NO               | Score (0 – 5)                               | 4   | Comments:<br>Comprehensive<br>details provided | 3  | Comments:  PM has current availability.   | 4   | Comments:<br>Comprehensive<br>details provided | 3                               | Comments:  Number of priorities addressed | 3  | Comments:<br>Second<br>highest<br>costing |     |

Finance, Procurement and Facilities Division
BCM Minor – Engaging a Project Manager, QED 97391 PQP - Evaluation of Quotes v1.2 Aug 2023
(Content Manager Template ID: 21/505595)

|  | Weighted<br>Score<br>(Score x<br>weighting) | 80 |  | 75 |  | 60 |  | 30 |  | 90 | Total:<br>\$ 35,000<br>(Excl.<br>GST) | 335 |  |
|--|---|----|--|----|--|----|--|----|--|----|---------------------------------------|-----|--|
|--|---|----|--|----|--|----|--|----|--|----|---------------------------------------|-----|--|

Released under the Rill Ret by Doll

From: MCCARTHY, Leah

**Sent:** Friday, 4 April 2025 3:59:29 PM **To:** BIRD, Tania; COLLINS, Ken

**Subject:** Loganholme SS - Evaluation of Quotes for SIE Refurb - Signatures required

Hi Ken and Tania

Can you please sign this doc:

G:\A051 South East Region DO\Infrastructure\1. Schools\Loganholme SS\1
Projects\2025-26 SIE - Refurbish E&F Blks TMP - D Blk\Project Management\PM
Quotes - Part B\Evaluation of Quotes.docx

Please let me know when completed.

I have 3 more that I will also send through for signing next week.

### Thanks 😉



Leah McCarthy
Infrastructure Advisor
Infrastructure Services, South East Region
Department of Education

E: leah.mccarthy@qed.qld.gov.au

M: s 47(3)(b) - Contrary to Public Interest

Building C, Level 2 | 340 Hope Island Road | Hope Island Qld 4212 PO Box 492 | Oxenford Qld 4210

Inspiring minds. Creating opportunities. Shaping Queensland's future.

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

Stay in the know: South East SharePoint

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

From: BIRD, Tania

Sent: Monday, 7 April 2025 8:13:56 AM
To: MCCARTHY, Leah; COLLINS, Ken

**Subject:** RE: Loganholme SS - Evaluation of Quotes for SIE Refurb - Signatures required

Hi Leah,

All done 😊



Tania Bird
A/Infrastructure Advisor
Infrastructure Services, South East Region
Department of Education

E: tania.bird@qed.qld.gov.au

M: \$47(3)(6) - Contrary to Public Inte

Building C, Level 1 | 340 Hope Island Road | Hope Island Qld 4212 PO Box 492 | Oxenford Qld 4210

#### Inspiring minds. Creating opportunities. Shaping Queensland's future.

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

Stay in the know: South East SharePoint

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

From: MCCARTHY, Leah < Leah.MCCARTHY@qed.qld.gov.au>

Sent: Friday, 4 April 2025 3:59 PM

To: BIRD, Tania <Tania.BIRD@qed.qld.gov.au>; COLLINS, Ken <Ken.COLLINS@qed.qld.gov.au>

Subject: Loganholme SS - Evaluation of Quotes for SIE Refurb - Signatures required

Hi Ken and Tania

Can you please sign this doc:

G:\A051\_South East Region DO\Infrastructure\1. Schools\Loganholme SS\1 Projects\2025-26
SIE - Refurbish E&F Blks TMP - D Blk\Project Management\PM Quotes - Part B\Evaluation of Quotes.docx

Please let me know when completed.

I have 3 more that I will also send through for signing next week.

Thanks 😊



Leah McCarthy
Infrastructure Advisor
Infrastructure Services, South East Region

#### **Department of Education**

E: leah.mccarthy@qed.qld.gov.au

M:

Building C, Level 2 | 340 Hope Island Road | Hope Island Qld 4212 PO Box 492 | Oxenford Qld 4210

Inspiring minds. Creating opportunities. Shaping Queensland's future.

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

Stay in the know: South East SharePoint

Please consider the environment before printing this email.